Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,595	MIAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael P. Mooney	2883
All Participants: Status of Application: Response to 2/21/06 Amdt.		
(1) Michael P. Mooney.	(3)	
(2) Atty. Jun-Yeong E. Jeon (Reg. No. 43,693).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 March 2006	Time: Approx. 1:10pm Eastern Time	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Prior Rjections were not of issue.		
Claims discussed: Claims 1-2		
Prior art documents discussed:  Dannoux (5812720).		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	Frank G Supervisory Pa Technology G	tent Examiner
Michall Mooney	N/A	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 1 would be made allowable over Dannoux by incorporating the subject matter of claim 2 into claim 1. Atty. Jun-Young Jeon indicated that no problem with doing this was anticipated, but that Applicant must be consulted with regarding this change. [It is noted that, subsequently, Atty. Jun-Young Jeon, left a message on Examiner's voicemail stating that the change was approved by Applicant.]